

Knowledge, Science and Proof

[View Online](#)

PLEASE NOTE - This reading list is for the 2018/19 academic year and will be updated for the 2020/21 academic year. This is NOT the current year's reading list.

[1]

C. W. Mills, 'Alternative Epistemologies', in *Blackness Visible: Essays on Philosophy and Race*, Cornell University Press, 1998, pp. 21–40 [Online]. Available: <https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=nlebk&AN=1508193&authtype=sso&custid=s8993828&site=eds-live&scope=site>

[2]

N. C. M. Hartsock, 'The Feminist Standpoint: Developing the Ground for a Specifically Feminist Historical Materialism', in *Discovering reality: feminist perspectives on epistemology, metaphysics, methodology, and philosophy of science*, vol. v. 161, Dordrecht: Reidel, 1983, pp. 283–310 [Online]. Available: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/uea/detail.action?docID=3035986>

[3]

R. McKinnon, 'Trans*formative Experiences', *Res Philosophica* (preprint deposited by the author on academia.edu), vol. 92, no. 2, pp. 419–440, 2015 [Online]. Available: https://www.dropbox.com/s/gxlo1wqji8n4l2d/Trans_formative_Experiences%20%281%29.pdf?dl=0

[4]

'standpoint epistemology in podcasts | Listen Notes'. [Online]. Available: https://www.listennotes.com/search/?q=standpoint%20epistemology&sort_by_date=0&scope=episode&offset=0&language=Any%20language&len_min=0&ecount_min=0

[5]

M. Fricker, 'Hermeneutic Injustice (follow also for the whole book)', in *Epistemic injustice: power and the ethics of knowing*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2009, pp. 147–175 [Online]. Available: <http://www.uea.eblib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=694009>

[6]

Nora Berenstain, 'Epistemic Exploitation', *Ergo: An Open Access Journal of Philosophy*, vol. 3, no. 22, 2016 [Online]. Available: <https://quod.lib.umich.edu/e/ergo/12405314.0003.022/--epistemic-exploitation?rgn=main;view=fulltext>

[7]

K. Jenkins, 'Rape Myths and Domestic Abuse Myths as Hermeneutical Injustices', *Journal of Applied Philosophy*, vol. 34, no. 2, pp. 191–205, Feb. 2017, doi: 10.1111/japp.12174.

[8]

Kristie Dotson, 'A Cautionary Tale: On Limiting Epistemic Oppression', *Frontiers: A Journal of Women Studies*, vol. 33, no. 1, 2012, doi: 10.5250/fronjwomestud.33.1.0024.

[9]

Wesley Buckwalter, 'Epistemic Injustice in Social Cognition', *Australasian Journal of Philosophy* [Online]. Available: <https://philpapers.org/rec/BUCEII>

[10]

'28: Philosophy and #MeToo with Emily McWilliams - Examining Ethics (podcast) | Listen Notes'. [Online]. Available: <https://www.listennotes.com/podcasts/examining-ethics/28-philosophy-and-metoo-with--ghaHy9C2Bw/>

[11]

'Prof Miranda Fricker - Epistemic Injustice - Radical Philosophy (podcast) | Listen Notes'. [Online]. Available: https://www.listennotes.com/podcasts/radical-philosophy/prof-miranda-fricker-8CQ7_TV-oDh/

[12]

L. Beeby, 'A Critique of Hermeneutical Injustice', *Proceedings of the Aristotelian Society* (Hardback), vol. 111, no. 3pt3, pp. 479–486, Oct. 2011, doi: 10.1111/j.1467-9264.2011.00319.x.

[13]

B. Stroud, *The significance of philosophical scepticism*. Oxford: Clarendon, 1984 [Online]. Available: <http://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/uea/detail.action?docID=3053315>

[14]

M. Williams, *Problems of knowledge: a critical introduction to epistemology*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2001.

[15]

R. Descartes, D. Weissman, W. T. Bluhm, and R. Descartes, 'Meditation One', in *Discourse on the method: and, Meditations on first philosophy*, New Haven: Yale University Press, pp. 58–62 [Online]. Available: <https://search-ebscohost-com.uea.idm.oclc.org/login.aspx?direct=true&db=nlebk&AN=52973&site=eds-live&scope=site>

[16]

A. J. Ayer, *The problem of knowledge*. London: Penguin, 1956.

[17]

H. Robinson, *Perception*. London: Routledge, 1994 [Online]. Available: <https://uea.idm.oclc.org/login?url=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/e/9780203164358>

[18]

J. L. Austin and G. J. Warnock, *Sense and sensibilia*. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1962.

[19]

E. Fischer, P. E. Engelhardt, J. Horvath, and H. Ohtani, 'Experimental ordinary language philosophy: a cross-linguistic study of defeasible default inferences', *Synthese*, Jan. 2019, doi: 10.1007/s11229-019-02081-4.

[20]

S. J. Blackmore, *Consciousness: a very short introduction*, Second edition., vol. 121. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2017.

[21]

D. J. Chalmers, *The conscious mind: in search of a fundamental theory*. New York: Oxford University Press, 1996 [Online]. Available: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/uea/detail.action?docID=272854>

[22]

E. L. Gettier, 'Is Justified True Belief Knowledge?', *Analysis*, vol. 23, no. 6, Jun. 1963, doi: 10.2307/3326922.

[23]

M. Williams, *Problems of knowledge: a critical introduction to epistemology*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2001.

[24]

R. J. Fogelin, *Pyrrhonian reflections on knowledge and justification*. New York: Oxford University Press, 1994 [Online]. Available: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/uea/detail.action?docID=3052370>

[25]

M. Williams, *Problems of knowledge: a critical introduction to epistemology*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2001.

[26]

R. J. Fogelin, 'Chapter 2: Fourth-Clause Theories', in *Pyrrhonian reflections on knowledge and justification*, New York: Oxford University Press, 1994, pp. 31–40 [Online]. Available: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/uea/reader.action?docID=3052370&pg=46>

[27]

Keith DeRose, 'Introduction: Responding to Skepticism', in *Skepticism: a contemporary reader*, New York: Oxford University Press, 1999.

[28]

K. J. Holyoak, R. G. Morrison, and K. J. Holyoak, 'A model of heuristic judgment', in *The Cambridge handbook of thinking and reasoning*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2005.

[29]

Alexander, JoshuaWeiburg, Jonathan M, 'Analytic Epistemology and Experimental Philosophy', *Philosophy Compass*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 56–80, 2007 [Online]. Available: <https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=pif&AN=PHL2103227&authtype=sso&custid=s8993828&site=eds-live&scope=site>

[30]

Kenneth Boyd and Jennifer Nagel, 'The Reliability of Epistemic Intuitions', in *Current controversies in experimental philosophy*, New York: Routledge, 2014, pp. 109–127 [Online]. Available: <https://uea.idm.oclc.org/login?url=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/e/9780203122884>

[31]

S. Berker, 'THE REJECTION OF EPISTEMIC CONSEQUENTIALISM', *Philosophical Issues*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 363–387, Oct. 2013, doi: 10.1111/phs.12019.

[32]

D. J. Singer, 'How to be an Epistemic Consequentialist', *The Philosophical Quarterly*, vol. 68, no. 272, pp. 580–602, Jul. 2018, doi: 10.1093/pq/pqx056.

[33]

P. Roberts, J. Andow, and K. A. Schmidtke, 'Lay intuitions about epistemic normativity', *Synthese*, vol. 195, no. 7, pp. 3267–3287, Jul. 2018, doi: 10.1007/s11229-017-1371-6.

[34]

J. Shaffer and J. Knobe, 'Contrastive Knowledge Surveyed', *Noûs*, 2012 [Online]. Available: <https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edsjsr&AN=edsjsr.41682692&site=eds-live&scope=site>

[35]

K. DeRose, 'Contextualism, contrastivism, and X-Phi surveys', *Philosophical Studies: An International Journal for Philosophy in the Analytic Tradition*, 2011 [Online]. Available: <https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edsjsr&AN=edsjsr.41487722&site=eds-live&scope=site>

[36]

W. Buckwalter, 'Knowledge Isn't Closed on Saturday: A Study in Ordinary Language', *Review of Philosophy and Psychology*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 395–406, Sep. 2010, doi: 10.1007/s13164-010-0030-3.

[37]

Nagel, Jennifer, 'The Psychological Basis of the Harman-Vogel Paradox', *PHILOSOPHERS IMPRINT*, 2011 [Online]. Available: <https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edswah&AN=000208856300001&site=eds-live&scope=site>

[38]

M. Gerken and J. Beebe, 'Knowledge in and out of Contrast', *Nous*, 2016 [Online]. Available:

<https://uea.idm.oclc.org/login?url=https://onlinelibrary-wiley-com/doi/10.1111/nous.12064>

[39]

J. Nagel, 'Mindreading in Gettier Cases and Skeptical Pressure Cases', in *Knowledge ascriptions*, 1st ed., Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2012, pp. 171–191 [Online]. Available: <https://uea.idm.oclc.org/login?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199693702.001.0001>