

# Social Psychology Theory and Methods

View Online



- 
1.  
McGarty C, Haslam SA. The Message of Social Psychology: Perspectives on Mind in Society. Blackwell Publishers; 1997.
  2.  
Dunn D. Research Methods for Social Psychology. Second edition. Wiley; 2013.
  3.  
Breakwell GM, Breakwell GM. Doing Social Psychology Research. Blackwell; 2004.  
<http://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/uea/detail.action?docID=351081>
  4.  
SOCIAL PSYCHOLOGY THEORY.
  5.  
Goethals G. A Century of Social Psychology: Individuals, Ideas, and Investigations. In: Hogg M, Cooper JM, eds. The SAGE Handbook of Social Psychology: Concise Student Edition. SAGE Publications Ltd; 2007:3-23.  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/uea/reader.action?docID=3032299&ppg=22>
  6.  
D.M. M, E.R. S. Intergroup Emotions. Published online 2014.

<http://blogs.iu.edu/smithlab/files/2016/03/APA-handbook-22g9wu0.pdf>

7.

P.G. D. Stereotypes and prejudice: Their automatic and controlled components. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*. Published online 1989.  
<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=psyh&AN=1989-15262-001&authtype=sso&custid=s8993828&site=ehost-live>

8.

Seeger CR, Smith ER, Mackie DM. Subtle activation of a social categorization triggers group-level emotions. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*. 2009;45(3):460-467.  
doi:10.1016/j.jesp.2008.12.004

9.

Schmid K, Hewstone M, Küpper B, Zick A, Wagner U. Secondary Transfer Effects of Intergroup Contact. *Social Psychology Quarterly*. 2012;75(1):28-51.  
doi:10.1177/0190272511430235

10.

Turner R, West K. Imagining intergroup contact reduces implicit prejudice. *British Journal of Social Psychology*. Published online 2010.  
<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=a9h&AN=49814448&authtype=sso&custid=s8993828&site=ehost-live>

11.

Barlow, FKPaolini, SPedersen, AHornsey, MJRadke, HRMHarwood, JRubin, MSibley, CG. The Contact Caveat: Negative Contact Predicts Increased Prejudice More Than Positive Contact Predicts Reduced Prejudice. *PERSONALITY AND SOCIAL PSYCHOLOGY BULLETIN*. Published online 2012.  
<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edswss&AN=000311570600008&authtype=sso&custid=s8993828&site=ehost-live>

12.

Nelson TD. *Ageism: Stereotyping and Prejudice against Older Persons*. MIT Press; 2002.

13.

Abrams, William Dominic Joshua Swift, Hannah J. Lamont, Ruth A. Abrams, Dominic. *BMJ OPEN*. Published online 2012.

<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edswsc&AN=000315044800076&authtype=sso&custid=s8993828&site=ehost-live>

14.

Swift, Hannah J.. Centre for the Study of Group Processes, University of Kent, Canterbury, United Kingdom, H.J.Swift@kent.ac.uk Abrams, Dominic. Centre for the Study of Group Processes, University of Kent, Canterbury, United Kingdom Lamont, Ruth A.. Centre for Research in Ageing and Cognitive Health, University of Exeter, Exeter, England Drury, Lisbeth. University of Kent, Canterbury, United Kingdom. *Social Issues and Policy Review*. 2017;11(1):195-231.

<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=psyh&AN=2017-02210-007&authtype=sso&custid=s8993828&site=ehost-live>

15.

Engel C. Dictator games: a meta study. *Experimental Economics*. 2011;14(4):583-610. doi:10.1007/s10683-011-9283-7

16.

Yamagishi, T Li, Y Takagishi, H Matsumoto, Y Kiyonari, T. In *Search of Homo economicus*. *PSYCHOLOGICAL SCIENCE*. Published online 2014.

<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edswss&AN=000342923600005&authtype=sso&custid=s8993828&site=ehost-live>

17.

Fleming P, Zizzo DJ. A simple stress test of experimenter demand effects. *Theory and Decision*. 2015;78(2):219-231. doi:10.1007/s11238-014-9419-2

18.

Conner M, Armitage CJ. Extending the Theory of Planned Behavior: A Review and Avenues for Further Research. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*. 1998;28(15):1429-1464.

doi:10.1111/j.1559-1816.1998.tb01685.x

19.

Armitage CJ; Centre for Research in Social Attitudes, Department of Psychology, University of Sheffield, Western Bank, Sheffield S10 2TP, UK.Conner M. Efficacy of the Theory of Planned Behaviour: a meta-analytic review. *The British Journal Of Social Psychology*. 2001;40.

<https://uea.idm.oclc.org/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=mdc&AN=11795063&site=ehost-live>

20.

Martine, SteadStephen, TaggAnne Marie, MacKintoshDouglas, Eadie. Development and evaluation of a mass media Theory of Planned Behaviour intervention to reduce speeding. *Health Education Research*. 2005;20(1):36-50.

<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edsovi&AN=edsovi.00055749.200502000.00004&authtype=sso&custid=s8993828&site=ehost-live>

21.

Gan M, Chen S. Being Your Actual or Ideal Self? What It Means to Feel Authentic in a Relationship. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*. 2017;43(4):465-478.  
doi:10.1177/0146167216688211

22.

Cacioppo S, Zhou H, Monteleone G, et al. You are in sync with me: Neural correlates of interpersonal synchrony with a partner. *Neuroscience*. 2014;277:842-858.  
doi:10.1016/j.neuroscience.2014.07.051

23.

Hofman W, Finkel E, Fitzsimons G. Close Relationships and Self-Regulation: How Relationship Satisfaction Faci... *JOURNAL OF PERSONALITY AND SOCIAL PSYCHOLOGY*. Published online 2015.

<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edswss&AN=000360444400005&authtype=sso&custid=s8993828&site=ehost-live>

24.

Abrahamse W, Steg L, Vlek C, Rothengatter T. A review of intervention studies aimed at household energy conservation. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*. 2005;25(3):273-291. doi:10.1016/j.jenvp.2005.08.002

25.

Steg L, Vlek C. Encouraging pro-environmental behaviour: An integrative review and research agenda. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*. 2009;29(3):309-317. doi:10.1016/j.jenvp.2008.10.004

26.

Truelove, HBCarrico, ARWeber, EURaimi, KTVandenbergh, MP. Positive and negative spillover of pro-environmental behavior: An integrative review and theoretical framework. GLOBAL ENVIRONMENTAL CHANGE-HUMAN AND POLICY DIMENSIONS. Published online 2014.  
<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edswss&AN=000348017200012&authtype=sso&custid=s8993828&site=ehost-live>

27.

Ederyn, Williams. Experimental comparisons of face-to-face and mediated communication: A review. *Psychological Bulletin*. 1977;84(5):963-976.  
<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edsovi&AN=edsovi.00006823.197709000.00009&authtype=sso&custid=s8993828&site=ehost-live>

28.

Parks MR, Floyd K. Making Friends in Cyberspace. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*. 2006;1(4). doi:10.1111/j.1083-6101.1996.tb00176.x

29.

Costa Pinto D, Reale G, Segabinazzi R, Vargas Rossi CA. Online identity construction: How gamers redefine their identity in experiential communities. *Journal of Consumer Behaviour*. 2015;14(6):399-409. doi:10.1002/cb.1556

30.

Kenneth J., Gergen. Social psychology as history. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*. 1973;26(2):309-320.  
<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edsovi&AN=edsovi.00005205.197305000.00020&authtype=sso&custid=s8993828&site=ehost-live>

31.

Parker, Ian. Division of Psychology and Social Change, Manchester Metropolitan University, Manchester, United Kingdom, [i.a.parker@mmu.ac.uk](mailto:i.a.parker@mmu.ac.uk). Critical psychology: What it is and what it is not. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*. 2007;1(1):1-15.  
<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=psyh&AN=2008-07777-001&authtype=sso&custid=s8993828&site=ehost-live>

32.

Clarke V. Men Not Included? *Journal of GLBT Family Studies*. 2007;3(4):309-349.  
doi:10.1300/J461v03n04\_01

33.

SOCIAL PSYCHOLOGY METHODS.

34.

Simmons JP, Nelson LD, Simonsohn U. False-Positive Psychology. *Psychological Science*. 2011;22(11):1359-1366. doi:10.1177/0956797611417632

35.

Yong E. Replication studies: Bad copy. *Nature*. 2012;485(7398):298-300.  
doi:10.1038/485298a

36.

S.T. F. Mob Rule or Wisdom of Crowds?  
[http://datacolada.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/09/Fiske-presidential-guest-column\\_APS-Observer\\_copy-edited.pdf](http://datacolada.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/09/Fiske-presidential-guest-column_APS-Observer_copy-edited.pdf)

37.

King M, Gordon B. Social Desirability Bias: A Neglected Aspect of Validity Testing. *Psychology & Marketing*. Published online 2000.  
<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bth&AN=11580068&site=ehost-live>

38.

Norman G. Likert scales, levels of measurement and the 'laws' of statistics. *Advances in Health Sciences Education*. Published online 2010.  
<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=psyh&AN=2010-24921-002&authtype=sso&custid=s8993828&site=ehost-live>

39.

Allen E, Seaman C. Statistics Roundtable: Likert Scales and Data Analyses.  
<http://asq.org/quality-progress/2007/07/statistics/likert-scales-and-data-analyses.html>

40.

Janice, RattrayMartyn C, Jones. Essential elements of questionnaire design and development. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*. 2007;16(2):234-243.  
<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edsovi&AN=edsovi.00019038.200702000.00003&site=ehost-live>

41.

Greenwald AG, Poehlman TA, Uhlmann EL, Banaji MR. Understanding and using the Implicit Association Test: III. Meta-analysis of predictive validity. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*. 2009;97(1):17-41. doi:10.1037/a0015575

42.

Kevin A, FentonAnne M, JohnsonSally, McManusBob, Erens. Measuring sexual behaviour: methodological challenges in survey research. *Sexually Transmitted Infections*. 2001;77(2):01-92.  
<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edsovi&AN=edsovi.00115314.200104000.00003&site=ehost-live>

43.

Bryman A. Social Research Methods. Fifth edition. Oxford University Press; 2016.  
<https://bibliu.com/users/saml/samlEastAnglia?RelayState=eyJjdXN0b21fbGF1bmNoX3VybC16liMvdmlldy9ib29rcy85NzgwMTkyNTI5NDk3L2VwdWlVTOVCUFMvMDAtQnJ5bWFnLUZNNi5odG1sln0%3D>

44.

Brinkmann S, Kvale S. InterViews: Learning the Craft of Qualitative Research Interviewing. Third edition. SAGE; 2015.

45.

Richards L, Morse JM. Readme First for a User's Guide to Qualitative Methods. 3rd ed. Sage; 2013.

46.

Mason J. Qualitative Researching. 2nd ed. SAGE; 2002.

47.

King N, Horrocks C, Brooks JM. Interviews in Qualitative Research. 2nd edition. SAGE; 2019.  
<https://catalyst.talis.com/uea/books/9781473986923>

48.

Eaton S. Research Assistant Training Manual: Focus Groups.  
<https://drsaraheaton.files.wordpress.com/2017/02/ra-training-manual-focus-groups-2017-02-10.pdf>

49.

Nicholas A, Maner J. The Good-Subject Effect: Investigating Participant Demand Characteristics. Journal of General Psychology. Published online 2008.  
<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bth&AN=31857845&site=ehost-live>



50.

Millsap RE, Maydeu-Olivares A. The SAGE Handbook of Quantitative Methods in Psychology . SAGE; 2009. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/uea/detail.action?docID=743581>

51.

Durgin FH, Baird JA, Greenburg M, Russell R, Shaughnessy K, Waymouth S. Who is being deceived? The experimental demands of wearing a backpack. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*. 2009;16(5):964-969. doi:10.3758/PBR.16.5.964

52.

Coe R. What effect size is and why it is important?  
<http://www.cem.org/attachments/ebe/ESguide.pdf>

53.

Ryan RS, Wilde M, Crist S. Compared to a small, supervised lab experiment, a large, unsupervised web-based experiment on a previously unknown effect has benefits that outweigh its potential costs. *Computers in Human Behavior*. 2013;29(4):1295-1301. doi:10.1016/j.chb.2013.01.024

54.

Gary L. Brase. How different types of participant payments alter task performance. *Judgment and Decision Making*,. 2009;(2009):419-428.  
<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edsdoj&AN=edsdoj.13628188419c483d9f2576996e16db38&site=ehost-live>

55.

Anderson C, Lindsay J, Bushman B. Research in the Psychological Laboratory: Truth or Triviality? *Current Directions in Psychological Science*. Published online 1999.  
<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edsjsr&AN=edsjsr.20182544&site=ehost-live>

56.

Mitchell G. Revisiting Truth or Triviality. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*.

2012;7(2):109-117. doi:10.1177/1745691611432343

57.

Schmuckler M. What Is Ecological Validity? A Dimensional Analysis. *Infancy*. Published online 2001.

<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=a9h&AN=5477434&site=ehost-live>

58.

Green DP, McGrath MC, Aronow PM. Field Experiments and the Study of Voter Turnout. *Journal of Elections, Public Opinion & Parties*. 2013;23(1):27-48.

doi:10.1080/17457289.2012.728223

59.

Desposato S. Ethical Challenges and Some Solutions for Field Experiments.

<http://www.desposato.org/ethicsfieldexperiments.pdf>

60.

Salovey, Peter. Field Experiments in Social Psychology: Message Framing and the Promotion of Health Protective Behaviors. *American Behavioral Scientist*.

2004;47(5):488-505.

<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=psyh&AN=2003-10602-002&authtype=sso&custid=s8993828&site=ehost-live>

61.

Tsatsou P. Digital technologies in the research process: Lessons from the digital research community in the UK. *Computers in Human Behavior*. 2016;61:597-608.

doi:10.1016/j.chb.2016.03.053

62.

Dhiraj Murthy. Digital Ethnography: An Examination of the Use of New Technologies for Social Research. *Digital Ethnography: An Examination of the Use of New Technologies for Social Research*. 42(5):837-855.

<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=edsjsr&AN=edsjsr.428>

57191&site=ehost-live

63.

Ryan T, Walker R. Life Story Work: Why, What, How and When. Sixth edition. CoramBAAF, Adoption & Fostering Academy; 2016.

64.

Podwalking: A framework for assimilating mobile methods into action research.  
<https://ueaeprints.uea.ac.uk/59970/>